arXiv:1207.5628v1 [math.FA] 24 Jul 2012

THE GLOBAL WAVE FRONT SET AND THE SHORT-TIME FOURIER TRANSFORM

LUIGI RODINO AND PATRIK WAHLBERG

Abstract. We give a detailed and partly original account of the microlocal characterization by Hörmander of the global wave front set, as the set of phase space directions without rapid decay of the short-time Fourier transform. As an application we show the inclusion for the global wave front set

$$WF(a^w(x,D)u) \subseteq WF(u), \quad u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad a \in S^0_{0,0},$$

where $S^0_{0,0}$ denotes the Hörmander symbol class of order zero and parameter values zero.

0. Introduction

The paper concerns the global wave front set of tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, introduced by Hörmander in [8].

The global wave front set $WF(u) \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ of $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of the complement of all directions in the phase space, such that there exists a symbol a in a Shubin class G^m where a is noncharacteristic in the direction, and $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Noncharacteristic in the direction $z_0 \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ means that

$$|a(z)| \geq \varepsilon |z|^m, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

when z belongs to an open conic subset of $T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ that contains z_0, and $|z|$ is large.

Hörmander showed in [8] that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(u) \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if the short-time Fourier transform with respect to a Gaussian window φ

$$V_\varphi u(x,\xi) = \mathscr{F}(u\,\overline{\varphi(\cdot - x)})(\xi), \quad (x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},$$

where $\mathscr{F}$ is the Fourier transform, decays rapidly (polynomially) in a conical neighborhood of (x_0, ξ_0).

Hörmander's proof of this microlocal characterization is brief and omits several details. In this paper we give a detailed proof of the characterization, and some generalizations and applications.

The microlocal characterization of the global wave front set can be seen as an equality of two sets, and in the proof one shows that each set is included in the other. For one of the inclusions, we present an original proof using as a tool localization operators. The opposite inclusion is much more complicated to show, and here we present a proof that is based on Hörmander's ideas in [8, Proposition 6.8]. Moreover we show that the microlocal characterization does not depend on the Gaussian window function, and in fact any nonzero Schwartz function can be used as a window function.

As an application of the microlocal characterization we show the inclusion for the global wave front set

$$WF(a^w(x,D)u) \subseteq WF(u), \quad u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad a \in S^0_{0,0}, \tag{0.1}$$

where $S^0_{0,0}$ denotes the Hörmander class of symbols on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$, defined by the property that a derivative of any order is uniformly bounded, and where $a^w(x,D)$ denotes the Weyl quantization. This inclusion is proved using time-frequency techniques, Sjöstrand symbol classes, and results by Gröchenig concerning the almost diagonalization in phase space for pseudodifferential operators with symbols in weighted Sjöstrand classes.

The paper is organized as follows. After the preliminary Section 1, we treat conical cutoff functions and partitions of unity, and some inclusions for the global wave front set in Section 2. Some of these inclusions were originally proved by Hörmander in [8], but as a service to the reader we prefer to present a selfcontained account, rededucing some results. Section 3 treats the equality of the global wave front set and the set of phase space directions without rapid decay, and Section 4 treats the inclusion (0.1). Finally, we make a brief comparison in Section 5 between the global wave front set and Coriasco and Maniccia's $\mathscr{S}$-wave front set [2], which is another type of global wave front set.

1. Preliminaries

The transpose of a matrix A is denoted A^t, and the inverse transpose of $A \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{R},d)$ is written A^{-t}. An open ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of radius $\delta > 0$ centered at the origin is denoted $B_d^\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \ |x| < \delta\}$, and the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted $S_{d-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| = 1\}$. The overline $\overline{A}$ denotes either the closure of a measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, or as in $\overline{f}$ the complex conjugate of a function f on $\mathbb{R}^d$, the choice being clear from the context. The Fourier transform of $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (the Schwartz space) is defined by

$$\mathscr{F} f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle} dx,$$

where $\langle x,\xi\rangle$ denotes the inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The standard multi-index notation for partial differential operators is used, and $D = (D_1,\dots,D_d)$ where $D_j = -i\partial/\partial x_j$. We denote translation by $T_x f(y) = f(y-x)$, modulation by $M_\xi f(y) = e^{i\langle y,\xi\rangle} f(y)$, $x,y,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and phase space translation by $\Pi(z) = M_\xi T_x$, $z = (x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Given a window function $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) is defined by

$$V_\varphi f(z) = (f, \Pi(z)\varphi), \quad f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the conjugate linear action of $\mathscr{S}'$ on $\mathscr{S}$, consistent with the inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2}$. The cross-Wigner distribution of $f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$W(f,g)(x,\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x+\tau/2)\, \overline{g(x-\tau/2)}\, e^{-i\langle \tau,\xi\rangle}\, d\tau, \quad (x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

We write $W(f,f) = W(f)$, and denote $\langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We write $f(x) \lesssim g(x)$ provided there exists $C > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq Cg(x)$ for all x in the domain of f and g. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the weighted Lebesgue space $L_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by the norm $\|f\|_{L_s^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f\langle\cdot\rangle^s\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called conic if it is closed with respect to multiplication by all positive reals.

Definition 1.1. For $a \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the conic support $\operatorname{conesupp}(a)$ is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ such that any conic open set Γ_x containing x satisfies:

$$\overline{\operatorname{supp}(a) \cap \Gamma_x} \quad \text{is not compact in} \quad \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ consists of all matrices $A \in \operatorname{GL}(2d,\mathbb{R})$ that satisfy

$$\sigma(Az, Az') = \sigma(z,z'), \quad z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},$$

where the symplectic form σ is defined by

$$\sigma((x,\xi),(x',\xi')) = \langle x',\xi\rangle - \langle x,\xi'\rangle.$$

We work with symbols in Shubin classes, introduced in [12] and defined as follows.

Definition 1.2. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, G^m is the subspace of all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ there exists a constant $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$ so that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{m-|\alpha|-|\beta|}$$

is satisfied for every $(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. G^m is a Fréchet space with respect to seminorms defined by

$$\sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{-m+|\alpha|+|\beta|} \left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x,\xi)\right|, \quad (\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}.$$

We have $\bigcap_{m\in\mathbb{R}} G^m = \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We denote $G^\infty = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{R}} G^m$. Let $(a_j)_{j\geq 0}$ be a sequence of symbols such that $a_j \in G^{m_j}$ and $m_j \to -\infty$ as $j \to +\infty$, and set $m = \max_{j\geq 0} m_j$. Then there exists a symbol $a \in G^m$, unique modulo $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, such that

$$a - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \in G^{m_n'}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad m_n' = \max_{j\geq n} m_j$$

(cf. [12, Proposition 23.1]). This is called an asymptotic expansion and denoted $a \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j$. The symbol a may be constructed as

$$a(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \chi(z/t_j) a_j(z) \tag{1.1}$$

where $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ satisfies $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi(z) = 0$ for $|z| \leq 1/2$, $\chi(z) = 1$ for $|z| \geq 1$, and $(t_j) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a sufficiently rapidly increasing sequence of positive numbers.

The Weyl quantization is the map from symbols to operators defined by

$$a^w(x, D) f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i \langle x-y, \xi \rangle} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi \right) f(y) \, dy \, d\xi$$

for $a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The latter conditions can be relaxed in various ways. In particular, if $a \in G^m$ for $m \in \mathbb{R}$ then $a^w(x, D)$ is continuous on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and extending by duality it follows that $a^w(x, D)$ is continuous on $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ [12]. By the Schwartz kernel theorem, any continuous linear operator $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be written a Weyl quantization for a unique $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. The Weyl quantization can be expressed in terms of the cross-Wigner distribution as

$$(a^w(x, D) f, g) = (2\pi)^{-d} (a, W(g, f)), \quad f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d}). \tag{1.2}$$

The Weyl product # is the product on symbol pairs corresponding to operator composition:

$$a^w(x, D) \, b^w(x, D) = (a \# b)^w (x, D).$$

The Weyl product is a bilinear continuous map

$$\# : G^m \times G^n \to G^{m+n}. \tag{1.3}$$

We have the following asymptotic expansion for the Weyl product (cf. [12, Theorem 23.6 and Problem 23.2]):

$$a \# b(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{\alpha! \beta!} \, 2^{-|\alpha + \beta|} D_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi) \, D_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta b(x, \xi). \tag{1.4}$$

In a few places we need to consider the Kohn–Nirenberg quantization, defined by

$$a(x, D) f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i \langle x, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) \, d\xi, \quad a \in G^m, \quad f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Since any continuous linear operator $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has a unique Weyl symbol in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ as well as a unique Kohn–Nirenberg symbol in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, a bijective mapping from the Kohn–Nirenberg symbol to the Weyl symbol, denoted T, can be defined for such operators. This means that

$$a(x, D) = (Ta)^w (x, D), \tag{1.5}$$

and if $a \in G^m$ then also $Ta \in G^m$ (cf. [12, Corollary 23.2]). Furthermore we have the asymptotic expansions (cf. [12, Theorem 23.3])

$$Ta(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|\alpha|} D_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x,\xi), \tag{1.6}$$

$$T^{-1}a(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|\alpha|} D_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x,\xi). \tag{1.7}$$

Hörmander [8] introduced the following concepts in order to define a global type of wave front set as a conic subset of the phase space.

Definition 1.3. Given $a \in G^m$, a point in the phase space $z_0 \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ is called non-characteristic for a provided there exists $A, \varepsilon > 0$ and an open conic set $\Gamma \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ such that $z_0 \in \Gamma$ and

$$|a(z)| \geq \varepsilon \langle z \rangle^m, \quad z \in \Gamma, \quad |z| \geq A. \tag{1.8}$$

Remark 1.4. More precisely, Hörmander's definition is the less restrictive condition

$$|a(tz_0)| \geq \varepsilon t^m, \quad t \geq A.$$

for some $A, \varepsilon > 0$.

For $a \in G^m$ we denote the characteristic set of a by $\operatorname{char}(a)$ and define it as the set of all $(x,\xi) \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ such that (x,ξ) is not non-characteristic according to Definition 1.3. Note that

$$\operatorname{conesupp}(a) \cup \operatorname{char}(a) = T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}, \quad a \in G^m.$$

Definition 1.5. If $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $WF(u)$ is the set of all phase space points $(x,\xi) \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ such that $a \in G^m$ and $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ implies that $(x,\xi) \in \operatorname{char}(a)$.

Hence $(0,0) \neq (x,\xi) \notin WF(u)$ if and only if there exists $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in G^m$ such that $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ and $(x,\xi) \notin \operatorname{char}(a)$. It follows from these definitions that $WF(u)$ is closed and conic in $T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$.

Remark 1.6. Note that the Kohn–Nirenberg quantization gives an equivalent definition in Definition 1.5. In fact, if there exists $a \in G^m$ such that $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ and $0 \neq z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(a)$, then there exists an open conic set $\Gamma \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ containing z_0 such that (1.8) holds for some $\varepsilon, A > 0$. From (1.7) we obtain $T^{-1}a = a + b$ where $b \in G^{m-1}$, and therefore for $z \in \Gamma$ and $|z| \geq A$, we have fore some $C > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|(T^{-1}a)(z)\right| &\geq |a(z)| - |b(z)| \geq \varepsilon \langle z \rangle^m - C \langle z \rangle^{m-1} \\
&= \varepsilon \langle z \rangle^m \left(1 - \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \langle z \rangle^{-1}\right) \\
&\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \langle z \rangle^m
\end{aligned}$$

provided $|z| \geq A_1$ for $A_1 > 0$ sufficiently large. Thus $z_0 \notin \mathrm{char}(T^{-1}a)$ and $(T^{-1}a)(x,D)u = a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$.

To each linear symplectic map $\chi \in \mathrm{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ is associated a unitary operator U_χ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, determined up to a complex factor of modulus one, such that

$$U_\chi^{-1} a^w(x,D)\, U_\chi = (a \circ \chi)^w(x,D) \tag{1.9}$$

for $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (cf. [3,7]). U_χ is an homeomorphism on $\mathscr{S}$ and on $\mathscr{S}'$. According to [8, Proposition 2.2] the global wave front set is symplectically invariant as follows for $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$(x,\xi) \in WF(u) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \chi(x,\xi) \in WF(U_\chi u) \quad \forall \chi \in \mathrm{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R}), \tag{1.10}$$

or, in short, $WF(U_\chi u) = \chi WF(u)$ for all $\chi \in \mathrm{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ and all $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

2. Partitions of unity and basic inclusions for the global wave front set

First we prove a lemma that shows that there exists functions in G^0 supported in a given open cone and equal to one on a smaller open cone.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $\Gamma, \Gamma' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ *be two conic open sets such that* $\overline{\Gamma' \cap S_{d-1}} \subseteq \Gamma$. *There exists a function* $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\mathrm{supp}(\chi) \subseteq \Gamma$, $\mathrm{conesupp}(\chi) \subseteq \Gamma$, $\chi(x) = 1$ *for* $x \in \Gamma'$ *and* $|x| \geq 1$, *and*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|} |\partial^\alpha \chi(x)| < +\infty \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

Proof. Let $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy $0 \leq g \leq 1$, $\mathrm{supp}\, g \subseteq \Gamma \cap \{y : \ 1/2 < |y| < 2\}$ and $g(x) = 1$ for $x \in \Gamma' \cap \{y : \ 1 \leq |y| \leq \sqrt{2}\}$. Set

$$\chi(x) = g\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x}{\langle x \rangle} \right).$$

Then we have $1 \leq |\sqrt{2}x/\langle x \rangle| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for $|x| \geq 1$, and therefore $\chi(x) = 1$ when $x \in \Gamma'$ and $|x| \geq 1$.

There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(g) + B_d^\varepsilon \subseteq \Gamma$. If $x \in (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \Gamma$ and $y \in B_d^\delta$ then

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}(x+y)}{\langle x+y \rangle} = z + w$$

where $z = \sqrt{2}x/\langle x+y \rangle \in (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \Gamma$ and $|w| < \varepsilon$ provided $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small. It follows that $\chi(x+y) = g(z+w) = 0$ for all $y \in B_d^\delta$, which implies $\mathrm{supp}(\chi) \subseteq \Gamma$.

Let $x \in (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \Gamma$, and define

$$\Gamma_x = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} : \ \frac{y}{|y|} \in (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \Gamma + B_d^{\varepsilon/\sqrt{2}} \right\}.$$

Then Γ_x is open, conic, and $x \in \Gamma_x$. Suppose $y \in \Gamma_x$ and $\chi(y) = g(\sqrt{2}y/\langle y\rangle) \neq 0$. Since $y/|y| = z + w$ where $z \in (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \Gamma$ and $|w| < \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}$, we have

$$z\frac{\sqrt{2}|y|}{\langle y\rangle} = \frac{\sqrt{2}y}{\langle y\rangle} - w\frac{\sqrt{2}|y|}{\langle y\rangle} \in \operatorname{supp}(g) + B_d^\varepsilon \subseteq \Gamma,$$

and since Γ is conic we obtain the contradiction $z \in \Gamma$. From this argument we may conclude that $\chi(y) = 0$ for all $y \in \Gamma_x$, which implies $\operatorname{conesupp}(\chi) \subseteq \Gamma$.

It remains to estimate the derivatives. We have

$$\partial^\alpha \chi(x) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} (\partial^\beta g)(\sqrt{2}x\langle x\rangle^{-1}) \sum_\gamma C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} x^\gamma \langle x\rangle^{-|\gamma|-|\alpha|} \tag{2.1}$$

where the sum over γ is finite and $C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, (2.1) can be verified by induction, starting from

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x_j}(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_k}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x}{\langle x\rangle}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x_k}{\langle x\rangle}\right)\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_k}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x}{\langle x\rangle}\right)\left(-\sqrt{2}\right) x_j x_k \langle x\rangle^{-3} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x}{\langle x\rangle}\right)\sqrt{2}\langle x\rangle^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

The estimate (2.1) yields

$$|\partial^\alpha \chi(x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x\rangle^{-|\alpha|}$$

for some $C_\alpha > 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. ☐

As a corollary we obtain conical partitions of unity:

Corollary 2.2. *Let* $\Gamma \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ *be a closed conic set, let* $\Gamma_j \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, *be open conic sets, and suppose that*

$$\Gamma \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k \Gamma_j\ .$$

Then there exists symbols $0 \leq a_j \in G^0$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, *such that* $\operatorname{supp}(a_j) \subseteq \Gamma_j$, $\operatorname{conesupp}(a_j) \subseteq \Gamma_j$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq k$, $\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \leq 1$, *and*

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j(z) = 1, \quad z \in \Gamma', \quad |z| \geq 1, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$ *and* $\Gamma' \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ *is open and conic.*

Proof. Set

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} :\ 1/4 < |z| < 4\},\\ D' &= \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} :\ 1/2 \leq |z| \leq 2\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 2 - \sqrt{2}$ be chosen such that

$$\overline{\Gamma \cap D' + B_{2d}^{\varepsilon}} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma_j \cap D.$$

By [7, Theorem 1.4.5], there exist $(g_j)_{j=1}^k \subseteq C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $g_j \geq 0$, $\operatorname{supp} g_j \subseteq \Gamma_j \cap D$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, and $\sum_{j=1}^k g_j(z) \leq 1$ with equality when $z \in \overline{\Gamma \cap D' + B_{2d}^{\varepsilon}}$. Set $a_j(z) = g_j(\sqrt{2}z/\langle z \rangle)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. By the proof of Lemma 2.1, $a_j \in G^0$, $\operatorname{supp}(a_j) \subseteq \Gamma_j$ and $\operatorname{conesupp}(a_j) \subseteq \Gamma_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Set

$$\Gamma' = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\} : \frac{z}{|z|} \in \Gamma + B_{2d}^{\varepsilon/\sqrt{2}} \right\}.$$

Then Γ' is conic, open and $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$.

Suppose $z \in \Gamma'$ and $|z| \geq 1$. Then $w := \sqrt{2}z/\langle z \rangle \in \Gamma' \cap D'$, thus $w/|w| = x + y$ with $x \in \Gamma$ and $y \in B_{2d}^{\varepsilon/\sqrt{2}}$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} < 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} < |x| < 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}},$$

and since $1 \leq |w| \leq \sqrt{2}$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} < |w|\,|x| < \sqrt{2} + \varepsilon \leq 2.$$

We may conclude $|w|x \in \Gamma \cap D'$ and $|w|y \in B_{2d}^{\varepsilon}$, and therefore $w = |w|x + |w|y \in \Gamma \cap D' + B_{2d}^{\varepsilon}$. Thus $\sum_{j=1}^k a_j(z) = \sum_{j=1}^k g_j(w) = 1$, which proves (2.2). □

Next we shall prove inclusions for the action of pseudodifferential operators on global wave front sets in terms of the conic support. We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *If $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $a \in G^m$, $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ and $0 \neq z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(a)$, then there exists $b \in G^{2m}$ such that $b^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$, $b = b_{2m} + b'$ where $b_{2m} \geq 0$ and $b' \in G^{2m-2}$, and $z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(b)$.*

Proof. The asymptotic expansion (1.4) gives $\overline{a} \# a = |a|^2 + b'$ where $b' \in G^{2m-2}$. Set $b = |a|^2 + b' \in G^{2m}$. The assumption $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ gives $b^w(x,D)u = \overline{a}^w(x,D)a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ since $\overline{a}^w(x,D)$ maps $\mathscr{S}$ into itself continuously. The assumption $z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(a)$ implies that there exists conic open set $\Gamma \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ such that $z_0 \in \Gamma$ and such that (1.8) is satisfied for some $\varepsilon, A > 0$. Together with $b' \in G^{2m-2}$, this gives for $z \in \Gamma$ and $|z| \geq A$

$$\begin{aligned} |b(z)| &\geq |a(z)|^2 - |b'(z)| \geq \varepsilon^2 \langle z \rangle^{2m} - C \langle z \rangle^{2m-2} \\ &= \varepsilon^2 \langle z \rangle^{2m} \left(1 - \varepsilon^{-2} C \langle z \rangle^{-2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$, which shows that $z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(b)$. □

The following result treats inclusion relations for global wave front sets with respect to the action of pseudodifferential operators with symbols in the Shubin classes. The first inclusion in (2.3) is identical to [8, Proposition 2.5]; we give a proof here for completeness' sake.

Proposition 2.4. *If* $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $a \in G^m$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned} WF(a^w(x,D)u) &\subseteq WF(u) \bigcap \operatorname{conesupp}(a) \\ &\subseteq WF(u) \subseteq WF(a^w(x,D)u) \bigcup \operatorname{char}(a). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Suppose first that $0 \neq z_0 \notin WF(a^w(x,D)u)$ and $z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(a)$. Then there exists $b \in G^n$, $n \in \mathbb{R}$, and an open conic set Γ containing z_0 such that $b^w(x,D)\, a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |a(z)| &\geq \varepsilon \langle z \rangle^m, \quad z \in \Gamma, \quad |z| \geq A, \\ |b(z)| &\geq \varepsilon \langle z \rangle^n, \quad z \in \Gamma, \quad |z| \geq A, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\varepsilon, A > 0$. The asymptotic expansion (1.4) gives $b \# a = ba + c$ where $c \in G^{m+n-2}$. Therefore we have, provided $z \in \Gamma$ and $|z| \geq A$, for some $C > 0$

$$|b\#a(z)| \geq |b(z)a(z)| - |c(z)| \geq \varepsilon^2 \langle z \rangle^{n+m} \left(1 + \varepsilon^{-2} C \langle z \rangle^{-2}\right).$$

By possibly augmenting A it follows that $z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(b\#a)$. Thus $z_0 \notin WF(u)$ which means that we have proved the third inclusion in (2.3). The second inclusion is trivial, and it remains to prove the first inclusion.

If $0 \neq z_0 \notin \operatorname{conesupp}(a)$ then there exists an open cone Γ containing z_0 such that $K = \overline{\operatorname{supp}(a) \cap \Gamma}$ is compact. By Lemma 2.1 we can choose $b \in G^0$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(b) \subseteq \Gamma$ and $z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(b)$. According to (1.4) we have $b\#a \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j$ where $\operatorname{supp}(a_j) \subseteq K$ for all $j \geq 0$. Therefore $b\#a$ equals, modulo $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, a symbol supported in K. Hence $b\#a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ which implies $b^w(x,D)\, a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$. This proves $z_0 \notin WF(a^w(x,D)u)$, and $WF(a^w(x,D)u) \subseteq \operatorname{conesupp}(a)$ follows.

What remains is to prove the inclusion

$$WF(a^w(x,D)u) \subseteq WF(u). \tag{2.4}$$

Suppose $0 \neq z_0 \notin WF(u)$, that is, there exists $b \in G^n$ where $n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(b)$ and $b^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$. By Lemma 2.3 we may assume that $b = b_n + b'$ where $b_n \geq 0$, $b' \in G^{n-1}$ and

$$b_n(z) \geq \varepsilon \langle z \rangle^n, \quad z \in \Gamma, \quad |z| \geq A$$

for an open conic set $\Gamma \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ such that $z_0 \in \Gamma$, and for some $\varepsilon, A > 0$. Let $\Gamma' \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ be an open conic set such that $z_0 \in \Gamma'$ and $\overline{\Gamma' \cap S_{2d-1}} \subseteq \Gamma$. Let $\chi \in G^0$ be chosen according to Lemma 2.1 with respect to Γ and Γ', that is, $\operatorname{supp} \chi \subseteq \Gamma$, $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, and $\chi(z) = 1$ for $z \in \Gamma'$ and $|z| \geq 1$. Set $b_0(z) = \chi(z) b(z) + (1 - \chi(z))\, \varepsilon \langle z \rangle^n$.

For $z \notin \Gamma$ we have $|b_0(z)| = \varepsilon\langle z\rangle^n$, whereas for $z \in \Gamma$ we have, for some $C > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}|b_0(z)| &= |\chi(z)b_n(z) + (1-\chi(z))\,\varepsilon\langle z\rangle^n + \chi(z)\,b'(z)|\\ &\geq \chi(z)b_n(z) + (1-\chi(z))\,\varepsilon\langle z\rangle^n - |\chi(z)\,b'(z)|\\ &\geq \varepsilon\langle z\rangle^n - C\langle z\rangle^{n-1}\\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\langle z\rangle^n,\end{aligned}$$

provided $|z| \geq A_1$ for $A_1 > 0$ sufficiently large. This implies that $b_0 \in HG^{n,n}$ which denotes the set of hypoelliptic symbols in G^n (cf. [12, Definition 25.1]). According to [12, Theorem 25.1] there exists $c \in HG^{-n,-n}$ such that $c\#b_0 = 1 + r_1$ where $r_1 \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Let $g \in G^0$ be chosen with aid of Lemma 2.1, satisfying $0 \leq g \leq 1$, $\operatorname{supp} g \subseteq \Gamma'$ and $z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(g)$. Set $h = g\#a\#c \in G^{m-n}$. Inserting $c\#b_0 = 1 + r_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}h\#b &= g\#a\#c\#b_0 + g\#a\#c\#(b-b_0)\\ &= g\#a + g\#a\#c\#(b-b_0) + r_2\end{aligned}$$

where $r_2 \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Since $\operatorname{supp}(g) \cap \operatorname{supp}(b-b_0)$ is compact, (1.4) implies that $g\#a\#c\#(b-b_0) \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Therefore $g\#a = h\#b + r_3$ where $r_3 \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. From $b^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ it now follows that

$$g^w(x,D)\,a^w(x,D)u = h^w(x,D)\,b^w(x,D)u + r_3^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}.$$

We have shown $z_0 \notin WF(a^w(x,D)u)$, concluding the proof of (2.4). ☐

Corollary 2.5. *If* $a \in G^m$, $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $\operatorname{char}(a) = \emptyset$, *then*

$$WF(a^w(x,D)u) = WF(u).$$

The following result gives a detailed proof of [8, Proposition 2.4], which is lacking in the reference.

Proposition 2.6. *If* $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $a \in G^m$, *and*

$$\operatorname{conesupp}(a) \cap WF(u) = \emptyset,$$

then $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$.

Proof. The assumption and Proposition 2.4 imply that $WF(a^w(x,D)u) = \emptyset$. Thus for any $z_0 \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ there exists $b_{z_0} \in G^{m_{z_0}}$ such that $b_{z_0}^w(x,D)\,a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$, and

$$|b_{z_0}(z)| \geq \varepsilon\langle z\rangle^{m_{z_0}}, \quad z \in \Gamma_{z_0}, \quad |z| \geq A,$$

for some $A, \varepsilon > 0$ and for some conical open set $\Gamma_{z_0} \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ containing z_0. From the compactness of S_{2d-1} we may conclude that there exists an integer $n > 0$, symbols $b_j \in G^{m_j}$, conical open sets $\Gamma_j \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $A, \varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|b_j(z)| \geq \varepsilon\langle z\rangle^{m_j}, \quad z \in \Gamma_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad |z| \geq A,$$

$$b_j^w(x,D)\,a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_j = T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 and its proof we may assume that $b_j = b_{j,+} + b_j'$ where $b_{j,+} \ge 0$,

$$b_{j,+}(z) \ge \varepsilon \langle z \rangle^{m_j}, \quad z \in \Gamma_j, \quad 1 \le j \le n, \quad |z| \ge A, \tag{2.6}$$

and $b_j' \in G^{m_j - 1}$ for $1 \le j \le n$. Corollary 2.2 implies that there exists a partition of unity

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_j(z) = 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \quad |z| \ge 1,$$

such that $\chi_j \in G^0$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\chi_j) \subseteq \Gamma_j$ for $1 \le j \le n$. We set $m = \max_{1 \le j \le n}(m_j)$ and define the symbol

$$b = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_j \# \langle \cdot \rangle^{m - m_j} \# b_j.$$

Then (1.3) implies $b \in G^m$.

Since $(\chi_j \# \langle \cdot \rangle^{m - m_j})^w(x,D)$ maps $\mathscr{S}$ into $\mathscr{S}$ continuously, it follows from (2.5) that $b^w(x,D)\, a^w(x,D) u \in \mathscr{S}$. By (1.4),

$$b = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_j \, \langle \cdot \rangle^{m - m_j} \, b_j + \widetilde{b}$$

where $\widetilde{b} \in G^{m-1}$. Consequently we have, using $b_j' \in G^{m_j-1}$ and (2.6), for some $C>0$ and for $|z| \geq \max(1, A, 2\,C\,\varepsilon^{-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|b(z)| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(z)\,\langle z\rangle^{m-m_j}\,\big(b_{j,+}(z)+b_j'(z)\big) + \widetilde{b}(z) \right| \\
&\geq \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(z)\,\langle z\rangle^{m-m_j}\,\big(b_{j,+}(z)+b_j'(z)\big) \right| - \left|\widetilde{b}(z)\right| \\
&\geq \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(z)\,\langle z\rangle^{m-m_j}\, b_{j,+}(z) \right| - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(z)\,\langle z\rangle^{m-m_j}\, b_j'(z) \right| - \left|\widetilde{b}(z)\right| \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(z)\,\langle z\rangle^{m-m_j}\, b_{j,+}(z) - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(z)\,\langle z\rangle^{m-m_j}\, b_j'(z) \right| - \left|\widetilde{b}(z)\right| \\
&\geq \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(z)\,\varepsilon\langle z\rangle^{m} - C\sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(z)\,\langle z\rangle^{m-1} \\
&= \varepsilon\langle z\rangle^{m} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(z)\,\big(1 - C\varepsilon^{-1}\,\langle z\rangle^{-1}\big) \\
&\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\,\langle z\rangle^{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence b is a hypoelliptic symbol that belongs to the symbol class $HG^{m,m}$. By [12, Theorem 25.1] there exists a symbol $c \in HG^{-m,-m}$ such that $c\#b = 1 + r$ where $r \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Since $c^w(c,D)$ maps $\mathscr{S}$ into $\mathscr{S}$ continuously and $b^w(x,D)\,a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
a^w(x,D)u + r^w(x,D)\,a^w(x,D)u &= (1+r)^w(x,D)\,a^w(x,D)u \\
&= (c\#b)^w(x,D)\,a^w(x,D)u \\
&= c^w(x,D)\,b^w(x,D)\,a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ follows from the observation that $r^w(x,D)$ maps $\mathscr{S}'$ into $\mathscr{S}$ continuously. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $WF(u) = \emptyset$ if and only if $u \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. If $WF(u) = \emptyset$ then by Proposition 2.6 $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ for any $a \in G^\infty$, in particular $a = 1$ which gives $u \in \mathscr{S}$. On the other hand, if $u \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ for any $a \in G^\infty$. Since we may choose a such that $z \notin \operatorname{char}(a)$ for any given $z \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, it follows that $WF(u) = \emptyset$. □

3. Rapid decay of the short-time Fourier transform in a cone

First we introduce a new global wave front set.

Definition 3.1. If $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ then for $z_0 \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ we say that $z_0 \notin WF'(u)$ if there exists an open conic set $\Gamma_{z_0} \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ containing z_0 such that

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma_{z_0}} \langle z \rangle^N |V_\varphi u(z)| < \infty \quad \forall N \geq 0.$$

It follows that $WF'(u)$ is a closed conic subset of $T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$.

The main goal of this section is to show $WF'(u) = WF(u)$ for $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. First we shall prove some preparatory results, then we show the inclusion $WF(u) \subseteq WF'(u)$, and after that we give a detailed account of Hörmander's proof of $WF'(u) \subseteq WF(u)$ (cf. [8, Proposition 6.8]).

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose f is a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ that satisfies*

$$|f(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^M, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{3.1}$$

for some $M \geq 0$, suppose $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, and suppose there exists an open conic set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ containing x_0 such that

$$\sup_{x \in \Gamma} \langle x \rangle^N |f(x)| < \infty \quad \forall N \geq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Let

$$g \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} L^1_s(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{3.3}$$

Then there exists an open conic set $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $x_0 \in \Gamma'$ and

$$\sup_{x \in \Gamma'} \langle x \rangle^N |f * g(x)| < \infty \quad \forall N \geq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. We have

$$|f * g(x)| \leq \underbrace{\int_{\langle y \rangle \leq \langle x \rangle^{1/2}} |f(x-y)|\,|g(y)|\,dy}_{:=I_1} + \underbrace{\int_{\langle y \rangle > \langle x \rangle^{1/2}} |f(x-y)|\,|g(y)|\,dy}_{:=I_2}.$$

Let Γ' be an open conic set such that $x_0 \in \Gamma'$ and $\overline{\Gamma' \cap S_{d-1}} \subseteq \Gamma$. Consider I_1. Since $\langle y \rangle \leq \langle x \rangle^{1/2}$ we have $(x-y)/|x| \in \Gamma$ if $x \in \Gamma'$ and $|x| \geq A$ for some $A > 0$. Thus $x - y \in \Gamma$ if $x \in \Gamma'$ and $|x| \geq A$. Let $N \geq 0$ be arbitrary. The assumptions (3.2) and (3.3) give

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\lesssim \int_{\langle y \rangle \leq \langle x \rangle^{1/2}} \langle x-y \rangle^{-N} |g(y)|\,dy \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle y \rangle^N |g(y)|\,dy \\ &\lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-N}, \quad x \in \Gamma', \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

provided $\langle x \rangle$ is sufficiently large.

Next we estimate I_2 for $N \geq 0$ using (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \int_{\langle y\rangle > \langle x\rangle^{1/2}} \langle x-y\rangle^M \, |g(y)| \, dy \\ &\lesssim \langle x\rangle^M \int_{\langle y\rangle > \langle x\rangle^{1/2}} \langle y\rangle^M \, |g(y)| \, dy \\ &= \langle x\rangle^M \int_{\langle y\rangle > \langle x\rangle^{1/2}} \langle y\rangle^{-2M-2N} \, \langle y\rangle^{M+2M+2N} \, |g(y)| \, dy \\ &\lesssim \langle x\rangle^{M-M-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle y\rangle^{M+2M+2N} \, |g(y)| \, dy \\ &\lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-N}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

again using (3.3). Combination of (3.5) and (3.6) proves (3.4). □

The following corollary says that the condition of rapid decay of the STFT in an open cone in the phase space, containing a given nonzero vector, does not depend on the window function taken in $\mathscr{S} \setminus \{0\}$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ and $z_0 \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose there exists an open conic set $\Gamma \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ containing z_0 such that*

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma} \langle z\rangle^N |V_\varphi u(z)| < \infty \quad \forall N \geq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Then there exists an open conic set $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $z_0 \in \Gamma'$ and, for any $\psi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma'} \langle z\rangle^N |V_\psi u(z)| < \infty \quad \forall N \geq 0.$$

Proof. By [4, Lemma 11.3.3] we have for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$

$$|V_\psi u(z)| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^{-2} \, |V_\varphi u| * |V_\psi \varphi|(z), \quad \psi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\},$$

and by [4, Theorem 11.2.3] we have for some $M \geq 0$

$$|V_\varphi u(z)| \lesssim \langle z\rangle^M, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

Since

$$V_\psi \varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \subseteq \bigcap_{s \geq 0} L_s^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

the result follows from Proposition 3.2. □

By Corollary 3.3, $WF'(u)$ does not depend on the window function $\varphi \in \mathscr{S} \setminus \{0\}$.

Next we show the easier half of $WF'(u) = WF(u)$.

Theorem 3.4. *If $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then*

$$WF(u) \subseteq WF'(u).$$

Proof. We prove the result by showing that $0 \neq z_0 \notin WF'(u)$ implies $z_0 \notin WF(u)$. Let $\psi(x) = \exp(-|x|^2/2)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, which gives the Wigner distribution

$$W(\psi)(z) = (4\pi)^{d/2} \exp\left(-|z|^2\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

There exists an open conic set $\Gamma \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ such that $z_0 \in \Gamma$ and

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma} \langle z \rangle^N |V_\psi u(z)| < \infty \quad \forall N \geq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $a^w(x,D)$ be the localization operator [1]

$$a^w(x,D)u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} b(z)\ V_\psi u(z)\ \Pi(z)\psi(x)\ dz$$

defined by the symbol b and the window function ψ. Then (cf. [1] and [12, Theorem 24.1])

$$a = b * W(\psi).$$

Let $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ be an open conic set such that $z_0 \in \Gamma'$, $\overline{\Gamma' \cap S_{2d-1}} \subseteq \Gamma$. Let $b \in G^0$ be chosen by means of Lemma 2.1, such that $0 \leq b \leq 1$, $\operatorname{supp} b \subseteq \Gamma$, and $b(z) = 1$ when $z \in \Gamma'$ and $|z| \geq 1$. Then $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and we have for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha a(z)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |\partial^\alpha b(z-u)|\ W(\psi)(u)\ du \\ &\leq C_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \langle z-u \rangle^{-|\alpha|}\ W(\psi)(u)\ du \\ &\leq C_\alpha \langle z \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \langle u \rangle^{|\alpha|} \exp\left(-|u|^2\right)\ du. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $a \in G^0$.

Let $\Gamma'' \subseteq \Gamma'$ be an open conic set such that $z_0 \in \Gamma''$, $\overline{\Gamma'' \cap S_{2d-1}} \subseteq \Gamma'$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $z - u/t \in \Gamma'$ provided $z \in \Gamma''$, $|z| = 1$, $|u| \leq \delta$ and $t \geq 1$. Moreover, $|z-u| \geq |z| - \delta \geq 1$ provided $|u| \leq \delta$ and $|z| \geq 1 + \delta$. These observations give for $z \in \Gamma''$ and $|z| \geq 1 + \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} |a(z)| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} b(z-u)\ W(\psi)(u)\ du \\ &\geq \int_{|u| \leq \delta} b(|z|(z/|z| - u/|z|))\ W(\psi)(u)\ du \\ &= \int_{|u| \leq \delta} W(\psi)(u)\ du > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(a)$.

Finally we prove that $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$. Using $\operatorname{supp} b \subseteq \Gamma$, $b \leq 1$, the assumption (3.8) and $\psi \in \mathscr{S}$, we estimate a Schwartz space seminorm of $a^w(x,D)u$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|x^\beta \partial^\alpha a^w(x,D)u(x)| \le \iint_\Gamma |V_\psi u(t,\xi)|\ |x^\beta \partial_x^\alpha (M_\xi T_t \psi)(x)|\ dt\ d\xi \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\gamma \le \alpha} \iint_\Gamma |V_\psi u(t,\xi)|\ |x^\beta \xi^{\alpha-\gamma} \partial^\gamma \psi(x-t)|\ dt\ d\xi \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\gamma \le \alpha} \iint_\Gamma |V_\psi u(t,\xi)|\ \langle t\rangle^{|\beta|} \langle \xi\rangle^{|\alpha|} \langle x-t\rangle^{|\beta|}\ |\partial^\gamma \psi(x-t)|\ dt\ d\xi \\
&\lesssim \iint_\Gamma \langle (t,\xi)\rangle^{-|\alpha|-|\beta|-2d-1}\ \langle (t,\xi)\rangle^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\ dt\ d\xi \le C_{\alpha,\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $C_{\alpha,\beta} < \infty$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ are arbitrary, it follows that $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$. Now we have proved that there exists $a \in G^0$ such that $a^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ and $z_0 \notin \operatorname{char}(a)$, i.e. $z_0 \notin WF(u)$. □

Next we deduce a series of lemmas that are needed in order to prove the inclusion opposite to Theorem 3.4. By and large we follow the ideas in [8], with a slightly different emphasis, and we supply the missing details.

Lemma 3.5. *If $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $0 \ne z_0 \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus WF(u)$ then there exists a finite set of vectors $(w_j)_{j=1}^k \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ such that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle z_0, w_j\rangle < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le j \le k, \quad \text{and} \\
&\langle z, w_j\rangle \le 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le j \le k \quad \Longrightarrow \quad z \notin WF(u).
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We may assume $|z| = |z_0| = 1$. Since $WF(u)$ is closed, there exists $0 < \delta \le 1$ such that $z_0 + B_{2d}^\delta \subseteq (T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}) \setminus WF(u)$. We define

$$
S_0 = \left\{ \frac{z_0 + w}{|z_0 + w|} : |w| < \delta \right\} \subseteq S_{2d-1} \setminus WF(u),
$$

and for $w \in S_{2d-1}$ we define the hemisphere $S_w = \{z \in S_{2d-1} : \langle z, w\rangle > 0\} \subseteq S_{2d-1}$. Then S_w is open in S_{2d-1}, for any $w \in S_{2d-1}$. We have

$$
S_{2d-1} \setminus S_0 \ \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in S_{2d-1}:\ \langle w, z_0\rangle < 0} S_w. \tag{3.9}
$$

In fact, if $z \in S_{2d-1} \setminus S_0$ then $|z - z_0| \ge \delta$ which implies $\delta^2/2 \le 1 - \langle z, z_0\rangle$. If $w = (z - z_0)/|z - z_0|$ then $\langle w, z\rangle \ge \delta^2/(2|z - z_0|) > 0$, that is $z \in S_w$, and $\langle w, z_0\rangle \le -\delta^2/(2|z - z_0|) < 0$, which proves (3.9).

Since $S_{2d-1} \setminus S_0$ is compact in S_{2d-1}, there exists a finite set $(w_j)_{j=1}^k \subseteq S_{2d-1}$ such that $\langle w_j, z_0\rangle < 0$ for $1 \le j \le k$ and

$$
S_{2d-1} \setminus S_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k S_{w_j}.
$$

Hence

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} (S_{2d-1} \setminus S_{w_j}) \subseteq S_0 \subseteq S_{2d-1} \setminus WF(u)$$

which shows that $\langle z, w_j \rangle \le 0$ for $1 \le j \le k$ implies $z \notin WF(u)$. $\square$

In the remainder of the paper we use the notation

$$H = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : z_1 > 0\}$$

for the halfplane of $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ that has positive first coordinate.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $w \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$,*

$$WF(u) \subseteq H_w = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : \langle z, w \rangle > 0\}$$

and $z_0 \notin \overline{H}_w$. Then there exists $\chi \in \mathrm{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ and a corresponding operator U_χ that satisfies (1.9)*, such that $\chi H_w = H$,*

$$WF(U_\chi u) \subseteq H, \quad \chi z_0 \notin \overline{H}.$$

Proof. Set $w = (x, \xi)$ with $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We have either $x \neq 0$ or $\xi \neq 0$.

If $x \neq 0$ there exists $A \in \mathrm{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ such that $Ax = e_1 = (1, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $C \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be a symmetric matrix the first column of which equals $-A^{-t}\xi$. We define

$$\chi^{-t} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ C & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\chi^{-t}, \chi \in \mathrm{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ (cf. [3, Chapter 4.1]), and

$$\chi^{-t} w = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ C & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ A^{-t}\xi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If instead $x = 0$ then $\xi \neq 0$ and we pick $A \in \mathrm{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ such that $A\xi = -e_1$. We set

$$\chi^{-t} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R}),$$

which gives again $\chi^{-t} w = (e_1, 0)$. Hence, in both cases we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi H_w &= \{\chi z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : \langle z, w \rangle > 0\} \\ &= \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : \langle z, \chi^{-t} w \rangle > 0\} = H. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from (1.10). $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\chi \in \mathrm{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ and let U_χ be a corresponding operator that satisfies* (1.9)*. Then*

$$|V_\varphi u(z)| = \left| V_{U_\chi \varphi} (U_\chi u)(\chi z) \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

Proof. For $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the symbol

$$a_{x,\xi}(y,\eta) = e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle/2 + i(\langle \xi, y\rangle - \langle x,\eta\rangle)}, \quad y,\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For $f, g \in \mathscr{S}$ we then have $(a^w_{x,\xi}(x,D)f, g) = (M_\xi T_x f, g)$, that is $a^w_z(x,D) = \Pi(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. We note that $a_{x,\xi} \notin G^\infty$; instead $a_{x,\xi} \in S^0_{0,0}$ which denotes a particular Hörmander symbol class, defined by $a \in S^0_{0,0}$ if $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $\partial^\alpha a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$ (cf. [3] and [7, Chapter 18]). By [3, Theorem 2.21] $a^w_{x,\xi}(x,D)$ is a continuous map on $\mathscr{S}$.

It follows from (1.9) that

$$U_\chi^{-1}\, \Pi(\chi z)\, U_\chi = (a_{\chi z} \circ \chi)^w(x,D).$$

By [3, Proposition 4.1] we have

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R}),$$

where $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$, if and only if

$$A^t C = C^t A, \quad B^t D = D^t B \quad \text{and} \quad A^t D - C^t B = I. \tag{3.10}$$

With $z = (x,\xi)$ we obtain by means of (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\chi z} \circ \chi(y,\eta) &= e^{i/2\langle Ax + B\xi, Cx + D\xi\rangle + i(\langle Cx + D\xi, Ay + B\eta\rangle - \langle Ax + B\xi, Cy + D\eta\rangle)} \\ &= e^{i/2(\langle Ax, Cx\rangle + \langle B\xi, D\xi\rangle + \langle x, (A^tD + C^tB)\xi\rangle)} \\ &\quad \times e^{i(\langle x, (C^tB - A^tD)\eta\rangle + \langle \xi, (D^tA - B^tC)y\rangle)} \\ &= e^{i/2(\langle Ax, Cx\rangle + \langle B\xi, D\xi\rangle + 2\langle Cx, B\xi\rangle + \langle x,\xi\rangle)}\, e^{i(\langle \xi, y\rangle - \langle x,\eta\rangle)} \\ &= e^{i/2(\langle Ax, Cx\rangle + \langle B\xi, D\xi\rangle + 2\langle Cx, B\xi\rangle)} a_{x,\xi}(y,\eta), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $(a_{\chi z} \circ \chi)^w(x,D) = e^{i/2(\langle Ax, Cx\rangle + \langle B\xi, D\xi\rangle + 2\langle Cx, B\xi\rangle)}\Pi(x,\xi)$. This gives finally for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left|V_{U_\chi\varphi}(U_\chi u)(\chi z)\right| &= \left|(U_\chi u, \Pi(\chi z)\, U_\chi\varphi)\right| = \left|(u, U_\chi^{-1}\Pi(\chi z)\, U_\chi\varphi)\right| \\ &= \left|(u, \Pi(z)\varphi)\right| = \left|V_\varphi u(z)\right|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.8. *If* $\Gamma \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} : x_1 > 0\}$ *is closed and conic and* $x = (x_1, x')$, $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, *then there exists* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\Gamma \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} : |x'| < Cx_1\}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Suppose (3.11) is not true for any $C > 0$. Then there exists a sequence $(x_n)_n \subseteq \Gamma$, $x_n = (x_{n,1}, x'_n)$, $|x_n| = 1$, such that $|x'_n|/x_{n,1} \geq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $x_{n,1} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and the compactness of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$ gives $x_{n_j} \to (0, x')$ for a subsequence $(x_{n_j})_j \subseteq (x_n)_n$, and some $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ with $|x'| = 1$. The closedness of Γ gives $(0, x') \in \Gamma$ which contradicts the assumption and therefore proves that (3.11) holds for some $C > 0$. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $m \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $a \in G^m$*, let* $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}$ *be an open conic set such that* $\operatorname{conesupp}(a) \subseteq \Gamma$*, and let* T *be the map* (1.5) *from Kohn–Nirenberg symbols to Weyl symbols. Then there exists* $b \in G^m$ *and* $r \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ *such that* $Ta = b + r$ *and*

$$\operatorname{conesupp}(b) \subseteq \Gamma. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}$ be an open conic set such that $\operatorname{conesupp}(a) \subseteq \Gamma'$ and $\overline{\Gamma' \cap S_{2d-1}} \subseteq \Gamma$. Pick by means of Lemma 2.1 $\chi \in G^0$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\chi) \subseteq \Gamma$, $\operatorname{conesupp}(\chi) \subseteq \Gamma$, and $\chi(z) = 1$ for $z \in \Gamma'$ and $|z| \geq 1$.

For each $w \in S_{2d-1} \setminus \Gamma'$ there exists an open conic set Γ_w containing w such that $\overline{\Gamma_w \cap \operatorname{supp}(a)}$ is compact. Since $S_{2d-1} \setminus \Gamma'$ is compact, there exists a finite set $(w_j)_{j=1}^k \subseteq S_{2d-1} \setminus \Gamma'$ such that

$$(\mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \Gamma' \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma_{w_j},$$

and it follows that $((\mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \Gamma') \cap \operatorname{supp}(a)$ is compact. From this argument we may conclude that $\operatorname{supp}(a(1-\chi))$ is compact. From (1.6) and (1.1) it thus follows that

$$T(a(1-\chi)) = r_0 + r_1$$

where $r_0 \in G^m$, $r_1 \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $\operatorname{supp}(r_0)$ is compact. It follows that $r_0 \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $T(a(1-\chi)) \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

If $z \in (\mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \Gamma$ then there exists an open conic set $\Gamma_z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $z \in \Gamma_z$ and $K_z = \overline{\Gamma_z \cap \operatorname{supp}(\chi)}$ is compact. Again by (1.6) and (1.1), we have $T(a\chi) = b + r_2$ where $r_2 \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $b \in G^m$ and $\Gamma_z \cap \operatorname{supp}(b) \subseteq K_z$, and therefore $z \notin \operatorname{conesupp}(b)$. This proves (3.12). To finish the proof, it suffices to observe

$$Ta = T(a(1-\chi)) + T(a\chi) = b + r$$

where $r = r_0 + r_1 + r_2 \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. □

Lemma 3.10. *If* $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $WF(u) \subseteq H$ *then* $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$*, there exists* $k > 0$ *such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \langle x \rangle^{-k-|\alpha|} |\partial^\alpha u(x)| < \infty, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, \tag{3.13}$$

and there exists $v \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that*

$$\operatorname{conesupp}(u - v) \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} : x_1 > 0\}. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. According to Lemma 3.8 there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$WF(u) \subseteq \{z = (z_1, z') \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\} : z_1 \in \mathbb{R},\ z' \in \mathbb{R}^{2d-1},\ |z'| \leq C_1 z_1\}.$$

Thus $\Gamma_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\} : |z'| > C_1 z_1\}$ is open, conic, and $\Gamma_1 \cap WF(u) = \emptyset$. By a similar argument there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$WF(u) \subseteq \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\} : x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ |\xi| \leq C_2 |x|\}. \tag{3.15}$$

In fact, assume that (3.15) is not true for any $C_2 > 0$. Then there exists a sequence $(z_n) \subseteq WF(u)$, $|z_n| = 1$, $z_n = (x_n, \xi_n)$ such that $|\xi_n| > n|x_n|$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $x_n \to 0$, and as in the proof of Lemma 3.8 we obtain $(0, \xi) \in WF(u)$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|\xi| = 1$. This contradicts the assumption $WF(u) \subseteq H$, and proves (3.15). We conclude that $\Gamma_2 = \{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\} : |\xi| > C_2|x|\}$ is open, conic, and $\Gamma_2 \cap WF(u) = \emptyset$.

Let $C_1' > C_1$ and $C_2' > C_2$, and define

$$\Gamma' = \{z = (z_1, z') \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\} : |z'| > C_1' z_1\} \bigcup \{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\} : |\xi| > C_2'|x|\}$$
$$\subsetneq \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 := \Gamma.$$

Then $\Gamma, \Gamma' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}$ are open and conic, $\overline{\Gamma' \cap S_{2d-1}} \subseteq \Gamma$, and $\Gamma \cap WF(u) = \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.1 there exists $a_0 \in G^0$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(a_0) \subseteq \Gamma$, $\operatorname{conesupp}(a_0) \subseteq \Gamma$ and $a_0(z) = 1$ for $z \in \Gamma'$ and $|z| \geq 1$.

Considering a_0 as a Kohn–Nirenberg symbol and switching to the Weyl calculus, by Lemma 3.9 we have $Ta_0 = b + r$ where $b \in G^0$, $\operatorname{conesupp}(b) \subseteq \Gamma$ and $r \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Thus

$$\operatorname{conesupp}(b) \cap WF(u) \subseteq \Gamma \cap WF(u) = \emptyset,$$

and Proposition 2.6 gives $b^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$. Since $r^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}$ it follows that $v := a_0(x,D)u = (Ta_0)^w(x,D)u = b^w(x,D)u + r^w(x,D)u \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have $u - v = a_1(x,D)u$ where $a_1 = 1 - a_0 \in G^0$.

Since $\widehat{u} \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists by [9, Theorem V.10] $n \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and a continuous function g such that $|g(\xi)| \lesssim \langle \xi \rangle^n$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\widehat{u} = \partial^\beta g$. Thus, since $a_1(x,\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi| > C_2'|x|$ and $|z| \geq 1$, we have

$$(u-v)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d}(-1)^{|\beta|} \int_\Omega g(\xi)\, \partial_\xi^\beta \left(e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle}\, a_1(x,\xi) \right) d\xi \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\Omega = \{\xi : |\xi| < (1-|x|^2)^{1/2}\} \bigcup \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| \leq C_2'|x|\}.$$

It follows that $u - v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha (u-v)(x)| &\lesssim \int_\Omega |g(\xi)|\, \langle x \rangle^{|\beta|}\, \langle \xi \rangle^{|\alpha|}\, d\xi \\ &\lesssim \langle x \rangle^{|\beta|} \int_\Omega \langle \xi \rangle^{|\alpha|+n}\, d\xi \\ &\lesssim \langle x \rangle^{|\beta|+|\alpha|+n+d}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have proved (3.13) with $k = n + d + |\beta|$.

Finally, suppose $x = (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $x_1 \leq 0$. Define $\Gamma_x = \{(y_1, y') \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} : |y'| > C_1' y_1\}$. Then Γ_x contains x and is open and conic. If $y \in \Gamma_x$ then $(y,\xi) \in \Gamma_1' = \{(y_1, y', \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\} : |(y',\xi)| > C_1' y_1\}$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Since $a_1(y,\xi) = 0$ when $(y,\xi) \in \Gamma_1'$ and $|(y,\xi)| > 1$, it follows from (3.16) that $(u-v)(y) = 0$ if $y \in \Gamma_x$ and $|y| > 1$. It follows that $\operatorname{supp}(u-v) \cap \Gamma_x \subseteq \overline{B_d^1}$, which proves (3.14). ☐

We are now in a position to prove the announced converse inclusion to Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.11. *If* $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *then*

$$WF'(u) \subseteq WF(u).$$

Proof. Let $0 \neq z_0 \notin WF(u)$. By Lemma 3.5 we have

$$WF(u) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} H_j \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \overline{H}_j,$$

where the open halfplanes H_j are defined by $H_j = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : \langle z, w_j \rangle > 0\}$ for some $w_j \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}$, for $1 \leq j \leq k$. By Corollary 2.2 there exists symbols $a_j \in G^0$ such that $\operatorname{conesupp}(a_j) \subseteq H_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j(z) = 1, \quad z \in \Gamma, \quad |z| \geq 1,$$

where $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}$ is open, conic such that $WF(u) \subseteq \Gamma$. With $u_j = a_j^w(x, D)u$ it follows from Proposition 2.4 that we have $WF(u_j) \subseteq H_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Moreover, since $u - \sum_{j=1}^{k} u_j = a^w(x, D)u$ where

$$a(z) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j(z) = 0, \quad z \in \Gamma, \quad |z| \geq 1,$$

it follows that $\operatorname{conesupp}(a) \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$, and hence Proposition 2.6 gives $u - \sum_{j=1}^{k} u_j \in \mathscr{S}$. To prove the theorem, it thus suffices to show that for each $1 \leq j \leq k$ there exists a conic neighborhood $\Gamma_{z_0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}$ containing z_0 such that

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma_{z_0}} \langle z \rangle^N |V_\varphi u_j(z)| < \infty \quad \forall N > 0,$$

where $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, the proof is complete if we can show the implication:

(3.17)

If $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$, $WF(u) \subseteq H$ and $0 \neq z_0 \notin \overline{H}$, then there exists a conic neighborhood Γ_{z_0} containing z_0 such that

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma_{z_0}} \langle z \rangle^N |V_\varphi u(z)| < \infty \quad \forall N > 0.$$

By Corollary 3.3 we may use the Gaussian window function $\varphi(x) = e^{-|x|^2/2}$. Assuming $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $WF(u) \subseteq H$ and $z_0 \notin \overline{H}$, there exists according to Lemma 3.10 $v \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that (3.14) holds. Writing $y = (y_1, y')$ with $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, there exists by Lemma 3.8 $C > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{conesupp}(u - v) \subseteq \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} : |y'| < C y_1\}.$$

Writing $z = -\xi - ix \in \mathbb{C}^d$, integration by parts and Lemma 3.10 give for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| z^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle z,y\rangle - |x|^2/2 - |y|^2/2} (u-v)(y)\, dy \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle z,y\rangle - |x|^2/2} \partial_y^\alpha \left(e^{-|y|^2/2} (u-v)(y) \right) dy \right| \\
&\lesssim \underbrace{\int_{|y'| \geq C y_1} e^{\langle x,y\rangle - |x|^2/2} \left| \partial_y^\alpha \left(e^{-|y|^2/2} (u-v)(y) \right) \right| dy}_{:=I_1} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\int_{|y'| < C y_1} e^{\langle x,y\rangle - |x|^2/2 - |y|^2/2} \langle y \rangle^{k+|\alpha|}\, dy}_{:=I_2} .
\end{aligned}$$

First we estimate the integral I_1. Denoting $\Gamma = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} : |y'| \geq C y_1 \}$, it is clear that Γ is a closed conic set such that

$$\Gamma \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \operatorname{conesupp}(u-v).$$

Thus each $y \in \Gamma$ has an open conic neighborhood Γ_y containing y such that $K_y = \overline{\Gamma_y \cap \operatorname{supp}(u-v)}$ is compact. Since $\Gamma \cap S_{d-1}$ is compact, and

$$\Gamma \cap S_{d-1} \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in \Gamma \cap S_{d-1}} \Gamma_y,$$

it follows that we have

$$\Gamma \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma_{y_j},$$

for some finite set $(y_j)_{j=1}^k \subseteq \Gamma \cap S_{d-1}$. Therefore

$$\Gamma \cap \operatorname{supp}(u-v) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} K_{y_j},$$

and we conclude that $\Gamma \cap \operatorname{supp}(u-v) \subseteq B_d^R$ for some $R > 0$. The integral I_1 can therefore be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\lesssim \int_{\Gamma \cap \operatorname{supp}(u-v)} e^{\langle x,y\rangle - |x|^2/2 - |y|^2/2} \langle y \rangle^{k+|\alpha|}\, dy \\
&\lesssim e^{R|x| - |x|^2/2} \lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate the integral I_2. Provided $x_1 \le 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{|y'|<Cy_1} e^{x_1 y_1 + \langle x', y'\rangle - |x|^2/2 - |y|^2/2} \langle y \rangle^{k+|\alpha|}\, dy \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_{|y'|<Cy_1} e^{-|y'-x'|^2/2 - y_1^2/2} \langle y_1 \rangle^{k+|\alpha|}\, dy'\, dy_1 \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty e^{-y_1^2/2} \langle y_1 \rangle^{k+|\alpha|+d-1}\, dy_1 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\langle (x,\xi) \rangle^{2N} = (1 + \sum_{j=1}^d z_j \overline{z}_j)^N = \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} c_\alpha z^\alpha \overline{z}^\alpha$$

for some constants $c_\alpha > 0$, we may conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}:\, x_1 \le 0} \langle (x,\xi) \rangle^{2N} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\langle \xi, y\rangle - |x-y|^2/2} (u-v)(y)\, dy \right| \\ &= \sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}:\, x_1 \le 0} \langle (x,\xi) \rangle^{2N} |V_\varphi (u-v)(x,\xi)| < \infty \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $z_0 = (z_{0,1}, z_0') \notin \overline{H}$, $z_{0,1} \in \mathbb{R}$, $z_0' \in \mathbb{R}^{2d-1}$, we have $z_{0,1} < 0$ and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $z_{0,1} < -\varepsilon |z_0'|$. Therefore $z_0 \in \Gamma_{z_0} := \{ z = (z_1, z') \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\} : z_1 < -\varepsilon |z'| \}$ which is an open conic set contained in $\{ z = (z_1, z') \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : z_1 \le 0 \}$. Finally, since $v \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}} \langle z \rangle^N |V_\varphi v(z)| < \infty$ for all $N \ge 0$ (cf. [4, Theorem 11.2.5]). This fact combined with (3.18) proves the implication (3.17). $\square$

Corollary 3.12. *If* $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *then* $WF'(u) = WF(u)$.

The corollary motivates the abolition of the notation $WF'(u)$ in the rest of the paper.

4. A WAVE FRONT SET INCLUSION FOR SYMBOLS IN $S^0_{0,0}$

In this section we discuss the Hörmander symbol class $S^0_{0,0}$, which consists of all functions $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $\partial^\alpha a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$ (cf. [3]).

Theorem 4.1. *If* $a \in S^0_{0,0}$ *and* $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *then*

$$WF(a^w(x,D)u) \subseteq WF(u).$$

Proof. We have (see e.g. [6])

$$S^0_{0,0} = \bigcap_{N \ge 0} M^{\infty,1}_{v_N} \tag{4.1}$$

where v_N is the weight $v_N(x,\xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^N$ for $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, and $M^{\infty,1}_{v_N} = M^{\infty,1}_{v_N}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ denotes a weighted modulation space [4]. The weighted modulation space $M^{\infty,1}_{v_N}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is also known as a weighted version of

Sjöstrand's symbol class [5, 10, 11]. The space $M^{\infty,1}_{v_N}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ has a norm that is defined by means of the STFT according to

$$\|a\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{v_N}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}} |V_\varphi a(x,\xi)| \, \langle \xi \rangle^N \, d\xi$$

where $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\|\varphi\|_{L^2} = 1$. Then we have by [4, Corollary 11.2.7]

$$(u,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} V_\varphi u(w) (\Pi(w)\varphi, g) \, dw, \quad g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Denoting the formal adjoint of $a^w(x,D)$ by $a^w(x,D)^*$, this gives for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$

$$\begin{aligned} V_\varphi(a^w(x,D)u)(z) &= (a^w(x,D)u, \Pi(z)\varphi) \\ &= (u, a^w(x,D)^* \Pi(z)\varphi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} V_\varphi u(w) \, (\Pi(w)\varphi, a^w(x,D)^* \Pi(z)\varphi) \, dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} V_\varphi u(w) \, (a^w(x,D) \, \Pi(w)\varphi, \Pi(z)\varphi) \, dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} V_\varphi u(z-w) \, (a^w(x,D) \, \Pi(z-w)\varphi, \Pi(z)\varphi) \, dw. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1) and [5, Theorem 3.2], for any $s \geq 0$ there exists $g_s \in L^1_s(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that

$$|(a^w(x,D) \, \Pi(z-w)\varphi, \Pi(z)\varphi)| \lesssim g_s(w), \quad z, w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

With $g(w) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}} |(a^w(x,D) \, \Pi(z-w)\varphi, \Pi(z)\varphi)|$ we thus have

$$g \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} L^1_s(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

and

$$|V_\varphi(a^w(x,D)u)(z)| \leq |V_\varphi u| * g(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}. \tag{4.2}$$

If $0 \neq z_0 \in (T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}) \setminus WF(u)$ then there exists an open conic set $\Gamma \subseteq T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ containing z_0 such that

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma} \langle z \rangle^N |V_\varphi u(z)| < \infty \quad \forall N > 0.$$

By [4, Theorem 11.2.3] we have for some $M \geq 0$

$$|V_\varphi u(z)| \lesssim \langle z \rangle^M, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

It now follows from (4.2) and Proposition 3.2 that there exists an open conic set $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ containing z_0 such that

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma'} \langle z \rangle^N |V_\varphi a^w(x,D) u(z)| < \infty \quad \forall N > 0,$$

which proves that $z_0 \notin WF(a^w(x,D)u)$. $\square$

Since modulation and translation are invertible operators with symbol in $S^0_{0,0}$, the result gives the following consequence.

Corollary 4.2. *If* $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ *and* $w \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ *then*

$$WF(\Pi(w)u) = WF(u).$$

5. COMPARISON WITH THE $\mathscr{S}$-WAVE FRONT SET

Coriasco and Maniccia [2] studies another type of global wave front set called the $\mathscr{S}$-wave front set and adapted to the SG pseudodifferential calculus.

To define the $\mathscr{S}$-wave front set $WF_{\mathscr{S}}(u)$ we need some concepts from [2]. For $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$, we denoted by φ_{x_0} a nonnegative function in $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ that equals one in a neighborhood of x_0. For $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by ψ_{ξ_0} a nonnegative function in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ supported in a conic open set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ containing ξ_0, such that $\psi_{\xi_0}(\xi) = 1$ when $\xi \in \Gamma'$ and $|\xi| \ge 1$ for a conic open set $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$, and $\psi_{\xi_0}(\xi) = 0$ for $\xi \in B_d^\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Definition 5.1. Let $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

(1) If $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ we say that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_\psi(u)$ if there exist φ_{x_0} and ψ_{ξ_0} such that $\psi_{\xi_0}(D)(\varphi_{x_0} u) \in \mathscr{S}$;
(2) If $(x_0, \xi_0) \in (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbf{R}^d$ we say that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_e(u)$ if there exist ψ_{x_0} and φ_{ξ_0} such that $\varphi_{\xi_0}(D)(\psi_{x_0} u) \in \mathscr{S}$;
(3) If $(x_0, \xi_0) \in (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ we say that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\psi e}(u)$ if there exist ψ_{x_0} and ψ_{ξ_0} such that $\psi_{\xi_0}(D)(\psi_{x_0} u) \in \mathscr{S}$.

Remark 5.2. Item (1) of Definition 5.1 is the definition of the ordinary Hörmander C^∞ wave front set (cf. [7, Chapter 8]).

The $\mathscr{S}$-wave front set $WF_{\mathscr{S}}(u)$ is defined as follows [2].

Definition 5.3. Let $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. Then $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\mathscr{S}}(u)$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
(x_0, \xi_0) \in (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \quad &\text{and} \quad (x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_\psi(u) \cup WF_e(u) \cup WF_{\psi e}(u), \\
(x_0, \xi_0) \in \{0\} \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \quad &\text{and} \quad (x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_\psi(u), \quad \text{or} \\
(x_0, \xi_0) \in (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \times \{0\} \quad &\text{and} \quad (x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_e(u).
\end{aligned}$$

According to [2, Theorem 3.8] we have $WF_{\mathscr{S}}(u) = \emptyset$ if and only if $u \in \mathscr{S}$, similarly to the corresponding result for $WF(u)$ (cf. Corollary 2.7 and [8, Proposition 2.4]).

Example 5.4. Let $u = \delta_{x_0} \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ where $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Then by [2, Example 2.5]

$$WF_{\mathscr{S}}(\delta_{x_0}) = WF_\psi(\delta_{x_0}) = \{x_0\} \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}). \tag{5.1}$$

In order to determine $WF(\delta_{x_0})$, we may assume that $x_0 = 0$ by Corollary 4.2. The STFT of δ_0 is

$$V_\varphi \delta_0(x,\xi) = (\delta_0, M_\xi T_x \varphi) = \overline{\varphi(-x)},$$

where $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. Hence $|V_\varphi \delta_0(0,t\xi)| = |\varphi(0)|$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > 0$, and if we assume $\varphi(0) \neq 0$ it follows that

$$\{0\} \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq WF(\delta_0).$$

Let on the other hand $(y_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ satisfy $y_0 \neq 0$. Then $(y_0, \xi_0) \in \Gamma$ where the conic open set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ is defined by

$$\Gamma = \{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{(0,0)\} : \, |x| > C|\xi|\}$$

for some $C > 0$. We have for $(x,\xi) \in \Gamma$ and $N \geq 0$

$$\sup_{(x,\xi)\in\Gamma} \langle (x,\xi) \rangle^N \, |V_\varphi \delta_0(x,\xi)| \lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \langle x \rangle^N |\varphi(-x)| < \infty,$$

and therefore $(y_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(\delta_0)$. We conclude that

$$WF(\delta_{x_0}) = WF(\delta_0) = \{0\} \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}). \tag{5.2}$$

Comparing (5.2) with (5.1) we may draw the following two conclusions. There are no general inclusion relations between $WF(u)$ and $WF_{\mathscr{S}}(u)$ for $u \in \mathscr{S}'$, and in this example $WF_{\mathscr{S}}(u)$ gives finer information than $WF(u)$.

Example 5.5. Here we compute $WF(1)$. According to [4, Proposition 4.3.2] we have

$$W(\widehat{g}, \widehat{f})(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^d W(g,f)(-\xi, x), \quad f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

This gives for $f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, using (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\big((2\pi)^{-d/2} \mathscr{F}^{-1} a^w(x,D) (2\pi)^{-d/2} \mathscr{F} f, g\big) &= (2\pi)^{-d} \left(a^w(x,D)\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}\right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-2d} \left(a, W(\widehat{g}, \widehat{f})\right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \left(a \circ \chi, W(g,f)\right) \\ &= ((a\circ\chi)^w(x,D) f, g)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(d, \mathbb{R}).$$

Comparing with (1.9), we conclude that the unitary operator U_χ corresponding to χ can be chosen as $U_\chi = (2\pi)^{-d/2}\mathscr{F}$. Since $1 = \widehat{\delta_0}$, using Example 5.4 and (1.10) we may conclude

$$WF(1) = WF(U_\chi \delta_0) = \chi WF(\delta_0) = (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \times \{0\}.$$

Example 5.6. Let $u = 1 + \delta_0$. By [2, Example 2.5] we have

$$\begin{aligned} WF_\psi(1+\delta_0) &= \{0\} \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}), \\ WF_e(1+\delta_0) &= (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \times \{0\}, \\ WF_{\psi e}(1+\delta_0) &= \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$WF_{\mathscr{S}}(1+\delta_0) = \{0\} \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \bigcup (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \times \{0\}.$$

For $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$V_\varphi(1+\delta_0)(x,\xi) = e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle} \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(-\xi)} + \overline{\varphi(-x)},$$

and provided we choose φ such that $\varphi(0) \neq 0$ and $\widehat{\varphi}(0) \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\{0\} \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \bigcup (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \times \{0\} \subseteq WF(1+\delta_0). \tag{5.3}$$

If $x_0, \xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy $x_0 \neq 0$, $\xi_0 \neq 0$, then for some $C_2 > C_1 > 0$, the open conic set

$$\Gamma = \{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{(0,0)\} : \, C_1|\xi| < |x| < C_2|\xi|\}$$

contains (x_0, ξ_0), and we have for any $N \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(x,\xi)\in\Gamma} \langle (x,\xi)\rangle^N |V_\varphi(1+\delta_0)(x,\xi)| &\leq \sup_{(x,\xi)\in\Gamma} \langle (x,\xi)\rangle^N \left(|\widehat{\varphi}(-\xi)| + |\varphi(-x)| \right) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{(x,\xi)\in\Gamma} \langle (x,\xi)\rangle^N \left(\langle \xi\rangle^{-N} + \langle x\rangle^{-N} \right) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x\rangle^N \left(\langle x\rangle^{-N} + \langle x\rangle^{-N} \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the inclusion opposite to (5.3), and it follows that

$$WF(1+\delta_0) = WF_{\mathscr{S}}(1+\delta_0).$$

Example 5.7. Let $d = 1$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u(x) = e^{icx^2/2}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. According to [2, Example 2.7] we have

$$WF_{\mathscr{S}}(u) = WF_{\psi e}(u) = (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}). \tag{5.4}$$

To compute $WF(u)$, we first define the operator

$$T_c f(x) = e^{icx^2/2} f(x), \quad f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then we have

$$W(T_c g, T_c f)(x,\xi) = W(g,f)(x, \xi - cx).$$

Therefore, with

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(1,\mathbb{R}),$$

and $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have for $f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(T_c^{-1} a^w(x,D) T_c f, g\right) &= (2\pi)^{-1} \left(a, W(T_c g, T_c f)\right)\\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \left(a \circ \chi, W(g,f)\right)\\ &= \left((a \circ \chi)^w(x,D) f, g\right).\end{aligned}$$

Upon comparison with (1.9) it follows that the unitary operator U_χ corresponding to χ can be chosen as $U_\chi = T_c$. Finally it follows from (1.10) and Example 5.5

$$WF(u) = WF(U_\chi 1) = \chi WF(1) = \{(x, cx) : x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}. \tag{5.5}$$

Comparing (5.5) with (5.4) we conclude that $WF(u)$ gives finer information than $WF_{\mathscr{S}}(u)$ for this example.

References

[1] E. Cordero and K. Gröchenig, *Time-frequency analysis of localization operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **205** (1), 107–131, 2003.

[2] S. Coriasco and L. Maniccia, *Wave front set at infinity and hyperbolic linear operators with multiple characteristics*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **24**, 375-400, 2003.

[3] G. B. Folland, *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space*, Princeton University Press, 1989.

[4] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.

[5] ———, *Time-frequency analysis of Sjöstrand's class*, Revista Mat. Iberoam. **22** (2), 703–724, 2006

[6] A. Holst, J. Toft and P. Wahlberg, *Weyl product algebras and modulation spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **251**, 463–491, 2007.

[7] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, vol I, III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg NewYork Tokyo, 1983.

[8] ———, *Quadratic hyperbolic operators*, Microlocal Analysis and Applications, LNM vol. 1495, L. Cattabriga, L. Rodino (Eds.), pp. 118–160, 1991.

[9] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, vol. 1, Academic Press, 1980.

[10] J. Sjöstrand, *An algebra of pseudodifferential operators*, Math. Res. L. **1**, 185–192, 1994.

[11] ———, *Wiener type algebras of pseudodifferential operators*, Séminaire Equations aux Dérivées Partielles, Ecole Polytechnique, 1994/1995, Exposé n° IV.

[12] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory*, Springer, 2001.

Department of Mathematics, University of Turin, Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino (TO), Italy.
E-mail address: `luigi.rodino@unito.it`

Department of Mathematics, University of Turin, Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino (TO), Italy.
E-mail address: `patrik.wahlberg@unito.it`